## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/706,547 POWERS ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Melanie Yu 1641 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) K.S. Cornaby M (4) Christopher Lloyd Oly (1) Melanie Yu. (2) Long. Le Date of Interview: 11/9/04 Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: all . Identification of prior art discussed: Hudson et al. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. 112,2nd issues discussed -definitions of interrogation Hudson et al. Applicant has added proposed to include the limitation wherein the ligand birds directly to the photostable linker to Photostable linker has a length of at least 6\$ to overcome the Hudson et al. 10216) rejection.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required